arXiv:1207.0491v2 [hep-th] 8 Jul 2012

A UNIFIED QUANTUM THEORY I: GRAVITY INTERACTING WITH A YANG-MILLS FIELD

CLAUS GERHARDT

Abstract. Using the results and techniques of a previous paper where we proved the quantization of gravity we extend the former result by adding a Yang-Mills functional and a Higgs term to the Einstein-Hilbert action.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The Yang-Mills functional 4
3. The Higgs functional 10
4. The Wheeler-DeWitt equation 11
References 15

1. Introduction

In a previous paper [3] we proved the quantization of gravity using canonical quantization to obtain a setting in which the standard techniques of QFT can be applied to achieve a quantization of the gravitational field, i.e., the gravitational field can be treated like a non-gravitational field.

In order to make this approach work four new ideas had to be introduced in the process of canonical quantization:

(i) We eliminated the diffeomorphism constraint by proving that it suffices to consider metrics that split according to

$$d\bar s^2 = -w^2(dx^0)^2 + g_{ij}dx^idx^j \tag{1.1}$$

after introducing a global time function x^0. The underlying spacetime $N = N^{n+1}$ can be considered to be a topological product

$$N = I \times \mathcal{S}_0 \tag{1.2}$$

Date: July 10, 2012.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 83,83C,83C45.

Key words and phrases. globally hyperbolic Lorentzian manifold, quantum gravity, Yang-Mills field, strong interaction, unification, unified quantum theory.

where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval, $\mathcal{S}_0$ a Cauchy hypersurface, fixed for all metrics under consideration, and $g_{ij} = g_{ij}(x^0, x)$, $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$, a Riemannian metric.

(ii) The volume element $\sqrt{g}$, $g = \det(g_{ij})$, is a density and it appears explicitly in the Lagrangian and in the Hamiltonian. However, the Hamiltonian has to be an invariant, i.e., a function and not a density. To overcome this difficulty we fixed a metric $\chi \in T^{0,2}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ and defined the function φ by

$$\varphi^2 = \frac{\det(g_{ij})}{\det(\chi_{ij})} \tag{1.3}$$

such that $\varphi = \varphi(x, g_{ij})$ and

$$\sqrt{g} = \varphi\sqrt{\chi}. \tag{1.4}$$

The density $\sqrt{\chi}$ will be later ignored when performing the Legendre transformation in accordance with Mackey's advice to only use rectangular coordinates in canonical quantization, cf. [4, p. 94].

(iii) After the Legendre transformation the momenta depend on $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$. To overcome this difficulty we consider a fiber bundle with base space $\mathcal{S}_0$ where the fibers are the positive definite metrics $g_{ij}(x)$ over x, i.e., a fiber $F(x)$ is an open, convex cone in a finite dimensional vector space. We treat this cone as a manifold endowing it with the DeWitt metric which is Lorentzian. It turns out that $F(x)$ is globally hyperbolic. Let us call the bundle E. Each fiber has a Cauchy hypersurface $M(x)$ and we denote the corresponding bundle by $\hat{E}$.

The introduction of the bundle E simplifies the mathematical model after canonical quantization dramatically. The Hamiltonian operator H is a normally hyperbolic differential operator acting only in the fibers which are globally hyperbolic spacetimes and the Wheeler-DeWitt equation is the hyperbolic equation

$$Hu = 0, \tag{1.5}$$

where u is defined in E.

The Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} Hu &= f \\ u_{|_M} &= u_0 \\ D_\nu u_{|_M} &= u_1 \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

is uniquely solvable in E with $u \in C^\infty(E, \mathbb{K})$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R} \vee \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, for arbitrary

$$u_0, u_1 \in C^\infty_c(\hat{E}, \mathbb{K}) \quad \wedge \quad f \in C^\infty_c(E, \mathbb{K}). \tag{1.7}$$

(iv) In view of (1.6) the standard techniques of QFT, slightly modified to accept the present setting, can be applied to construct a quantum field

$\varPhi_{\hat E}$ which maps functions $u \in C^\infty_c(E,\mathbb{R})$ to self-adjoint operators in the symmetric Fock space created from the Hilbert space

$$H_{\hat E} = L^2(\hat E, \mathbb{C}). \tag{1.8}$$

The quantum field also satisfies the Wheeler-DeWitt equation in the distributional sense.

The quantization of the gravitational field makes it possible to obtain a unified quantum theory describing the interaction of gravity with other fundamental forces. In this paper we look at the interaction of gravity with a Yang-Mills and a Higgs field.

Let $N = N^{n+1}$ be globally hyperbolic spacetime with metric $(\bar g_{\alpha\beta})$, where the indices run from $0 \le \alpha, \beta \le n$, $\mathcal{G}$ a compact, semi-simple, connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $E_1 = (N, \mathfrak{g}, \pi, \operatorname{Ad}(\mathcal{G}))$ be the corresponding adjoint bundle with base space N. Then we consider the functional

$$J = \alpha_N^{-1} \int_N (\bar R - 2\varLambda) + \int_N \{L_{YM} + L_H\}, \tag{1.9}$$

where α_N is a positive coupling constant, $\bar R$ the scalar curvature, $\varLambda$ a cosmological constant, L_{YM} the energy of a connection in E_1 and L_H the energy of a Higgs field with values in $\mathfrak{g}$. The integration over N is to be understood symbolically, since we shall always integrate over an open precompact subset $\tilde\Omega \subset N$.

Let $A = (A^a_\mu)$ be a connection in E_1. We shall prove in Theorem 2.3 on page 5 that it will be sufficient to only consider connections satisfying the Hamilton gauge

$$A^a_0 = 0, \tag{1.10}$$

thereby eliminating the Gauß constraint, such that the only remaining constraint is the Hamilton constraint.

Using the *ADM* partition of N, cf. [1], such that

$$N = I \times \mathcal{S}_0, \tag{1.11}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_0$ is a Cauchy hypersurface and applying canonical quantization we obtain a Hamilton operator H which is a normally hyperbolic operator in a fiber bundle E with base space $\mathcal{S}_0$ and fibers

$$F(x) \times (\mathfrak{g} \otimes T^{0,1}_x(\mathcal{S}_0)) \times \mathfrak{g}, \qquad x \in \mathcal{S}_0, \tag{1.12}$$

which are equipped with a Lorentzian metric. The Hamilton operator is only acting in the fibers and the Wheeler-DeWitt equation has the form

$$Hu = 0, \tag{1.13}$$

where $u \in C^\infty(E, \mathbb{C})$.

In Theorem 4.1 on page 14 we prove that the fibers are globally hyperbolic and have Cauchy hypersurfaces $M_0 = M_0(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$. Hence the results of [3, Sections 5 & 6] are applicable leading to the existence of a quantum field $\varPhi_{\hat E}$ which satisfies the Wheeler-DeWitt equation in the distributional sense and which maps $C_c^\infty(E,\mathbb{R})$ to self-adjoint operators in the symmetric Fock space constructed from the Hilbert space

$$H_{\hat E}=L^2(\hat E,\mathbb{C}), \tag{1.14}$$

where $\hat E$ is the bundle with base space $\mathcal{S}_0$ and fibers M_0.

2. The Yang-Mills functional

Let $N=N^{n+1}$ be a globally hyperbolic spacetime with metric $(\bar g_{\alpha\beta})$, $\mathcal{G}$ a compact, semi-simple, connected Lie group, $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra and $E_1=(N,\mathfrak{g},\pi,\operatorname{Ad}(\mathcal{G}))$ the corresponding adjoint bundle with base space N. The Yang-Mills functional is then defined by

$$J_{YM}=\int_N -\tfrac14 F_{\mu\lambda}F^{\mu\lambda}=\int_N -\tfrac14\gamma_{ab}\bar g^{\mu\rho_2}\bar g^{\lambda\rho_1}F^a_{\mu\rho_1}F^b_{\rho_2\lambda}, \tag{2.1}$$

where γ_{ab} is the Cartan-Killing metric in $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$F^a_{\mu\lambda}=A^a_{\lambda,\mu}-A^a_{\mu,\lambda}+f^a_{bc}A^b_\mu A^c_\lambda \tag{2.2}$$

is the curvature of a connection

$$A=(A^a_\mu) \tag{2.3}$$

in E and

$$f_c=(f^a_{cb}) \tag{2.4}$$

are the structural constants of $\mathfrak{g}$. The integration over N is to be understood symbolically since we shall always integrate over an open precompact subset $\tilde\Omega$ of N.

Let A be a Yang-Mills connection, i.e., the first variation of J_{YM} at the point A vanishes with respect to compact variations of A, then A satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$F^{a\mu}_{\lambda\ \ ;\mu}=0, \tag{2.5}$$

where we remind that covariant derivatives are always full tensors.

2.1. **Definition.** The adjoint bundle E_1 is vector bundle; let E_1^* be the dual bundle, then we denote by

$$T^{r,s}(E_1)=\varGamma(\underbrace{E_1\otimes\cdots\otimes E_1}_{r}\otimes\underbrace{E_1^*\otimes\cdots\otimes E_1^*}_{s}) \tag{2.6}$$

the sections of the corresponding tensor bundle.

Thus, we have

$$F^a_{\mu\lambda}\in T^{1,0}(E_1)\otimes T^{0,2}(N). \tag{2.7}$$

When we fix a connection $\bar A$ in E_1, then a general connection A can be written in the form

$$A^a_\mu=\bar A^a_\mu+\tilde A^a_\mu, \tag{2.8}$$

where $\tilde{A}^a_\mu$ is a tensor

$$\tilde{A}^a_\mu \in T^{1,0}(E_1) \otimes T^{0,1}(N). \tag{2.9}$$

To be absolutely precise a connection in E_1 is of the form

$$f_c A^c_\mu, \tag{2.10}$$

where f_c is defined in (2.4); A^a_μ is merely a coordinate representation.

2.2. **Definition.** A connection A of the form (2.8) is sometimes also denoted by $(\bar{A}^a_\mu, \tilde{A}^a_\mu)$.

Since we assume that there exists a globally defined time function x^0 in N we may consider globally defined tensors $(\tilde{A}^a_\mu)$ satisfying

$$\tilde{A}^a_0 = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

These tensors can be written in the form $(\tilde{A}^a_i)$ and they can be viewed as maps

$$(\tilde{A}^a_i) : N \to \mathfrak{g} \otimes T^{0,1}(\mathcal{S}_0), \tag{2.12}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_0$ is a Cauchy hypersurface of N, e.g., a coordinate slice

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{x^0 = \text{const}\}. \tag{2.13}$$

It is well-known that the Yang-Mills Lagrangian is singular leading to the so-called *Gauß constraint* when applying canonical quantization. To eliminate the Gauß constraint we impose local gauge fixing by stipulating that the connection $\bar{A}$ satisfies

$$\bar{A}^a_0 = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

Hence, all connections in (2.8) will obey this condition since we also stipulate that the tensor fields $\tilde{A}^a_\mu$ have vanishing temporal components as in (2.11). The gauge (2.14) is known as the *Hamilton gauge*, cf. [2, p. 82].

In the following theorem we shall prove that it suffices to consider connections of the form (2.8) satisfying (2.11) and (2.14) in the Yang-Mills functional J_{YM}.

2.3. **Theorem.** *Let $\tilde{\Omega} \Subset N$ be open and precompact such that there exists a local trivialization of E_1 in $\tilde{\Omega}$. Let $A = (\bar{A}^a_\mu, \tilde{A}^a_\mu)$ be a connection satisfying (2.11) and (2.14) in $\tilde{\Omega}$, and suppose that the first variation of J_{YM} vanishes at A with respect to compact variations of $\tilde{A}^a_\mu$ all satisfying (2.11). Then A is a Yang-Mills connection, i.e., the Yang-Mills equation*

$$F^{a\mu}_{\lambda\ ;\mu} = 0 \tag{2.15}$$

is valid in $\tilde{\Omega}$.

Proof. Let η^a_μ be an arbitrary tensor field with compact support in $\tilde\Omega$ satisfying

$$\eta^a_0 = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

and define the connections

$$A(\epsilon) = (\bar A^a_\mu, \tilde A^a_\mu + \epsilon\eta^a_\mu). \tag{2.17}$$

Differentiating the functional

$$J_{YM}(\epsilon) = \int_{\tilde\Omega} -\tfrac14 F_{\mu\lambda}(\epsilon)F^{\mu\lambda}(\epsilon) \tag{2.18}$$

with respect to ϵ and evaluating in $\epsilon = 0$ yields

$$\frac{dJ_{YM}}{d\epsilon} = -\int_{\tilde\Omega} \gamma_{ab} F^{a\mu\lambda}\eta^b_{\lambda;\mu} = \int_{\tilde\Omega} \gamma_{ab} F^{a\mu\lambda}{}_{;\mu}\eta^b_\lambda. \tag{2.19}$$

Assuming that the first variation of the functional vanishes we deduce

$$F^{ai\mu}{}_{;\mu} = 0 \tag{2.20}$$

which is equivalent to

$$F^{a\ \mu}_{\ i\ ;\mu} = 0 \tag{2.21}$$

since we only consider spacetime metrics $(\bar g_{\alpha\beta})$ that splits, i.e.,

$$d\bar s^2 = -w^2(dx^0)^2 + g_{ij}(x^0,x)dx^i dx^j \tag{2.22}$$

in view of the results in [3, Theorem 3.2]. Similarly, the conditions

$$F^{a0\mu}{}_{;\mu} = 0 \tag{2.23}$$

and

$$F^{a\ \mu}_{\ 0\ ;\mu} = 0 \tag{2.24}$$

are equivalent.

To prove that A also satisfies

$$F^{0\mu}{}_{;\mu} = 0 \tag{2.25}$$

in $\tilde\Omega$, we argue by contradiction supposing there exists $(t_0, x_0) \in \tilde\Omega$ such that

$$F^{0\mu}{}_{;\mu}(t_0, x_0) \neq 0. \tag{2.26}$$

Define

$$\xi^a = F^{a0\mu}{}_{;\mu}\bar g_{00} \tag{2.27}$$

so that

$$\gamma_{ab}\xi^a F^{b0\mu}{}_{;\mu} < 0 \tag{2.28}$$

in (t_0, x_0). Choosing a cut-off function $\varphi = \varphi(t,x)$ satisfying $\varphi(t_0,x_0) = 1$ we then infer

$$\gamma_{ab}\tilde\xi^a F^{b0\mu}{}_{;\mu} \le 0 \tag{2.29}$$

in N and strictly negative in (t_0, x_0), where

$$\tilde{\xi}^a = \xi^a \varphi. \tag{2.30}$$

Next we consider the gauge transformation $\omega = \omega(t,x)$ where ω is the flow

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\omega} &= -\omega \epsilon f_c \tilde{\xi}^c, \\ \omega(t_0, x) &= \mathrm{id}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

which is well defined in a neighbourhood of $\operatorname{supp} \varphi$. After the gauge transformation the connections $A(\epsilon)$ in (2.17) look like

$$\omega f_c A^c_\mu(\epsilon)\omega^{-1} - \omega_\mu \omega^{-1} \tag{2.32}$$

and the component $\mu = 0$ is equal to

$$\omega f_c A^c_0(\epsilon)\omega^{-1} = \epsilon \omega f_c \tilde{\xi}^c \omega^{-1}. \tag{2.33}$$

Since the Yang-Mills functional is gauge invariant its first variation still vanishes after the gauge transformation and we deduce from (2.19) and (2.20)

$$0 = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \gamma_{ab} F^{a0\mu}{}_{;\mu} \tilde{\xi}^b \tag{2.34}$$

contradicting (2.29). □

2.4. **Remark.** Gauge fixing is an appropriate method for reducing the number of independent variables, but in the context of canonical quantization it is only legitimate if it is also used before deriving the Euler-Lagrange equation and if in addition it is proved that the correct Euler-Lagrange equation is still valid.

Let $(B_{\rho_k}(x_k))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a covering of $\mathcal{S}_0$ by small open balls such that each ball lies in a coordinate chart of $\mathcal{S}_0$. Then the cylinders

$$U_k = I \times B_{\rho_k}(x_k) \tag{2.35}$$

are a covering of N such that each U_k is contractible, hence each bundle $\pi^{-1}(U_k)$ is trivial and the connection $\bar{A}$ can be expressed in coordinates in each U_k

$$\bar{A} = (\bar{A}^a_\mu) = f_a A^a_\mu dx^\mu. \tag{2.36}$$

We shall prove:

2.5. **Lemma.** *In each cylinder U_k there exists a gauge transformation $\omega = \omega(t,x)$ such that*

$$\bar{A}^a_0(t,x) = 0 \qquad \forall\, (t,x) \in U_k \tag{2.37}$$

after applying the gauge transformation.

Proof. For fixed k we consider the flow

$$\begin{aligned} \dot\omega &= \omega f_c \bar A^c_0 \omega^{-1}, \\ \omega(0,x) &= \mathrm{id}, \qquad\qquad x \in B_{\rho_k}(x_k). \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

For fixed $x \in B_{\rho_k}(x_k)$ the integral curve exists on a maximal interval J_x. If we can show $J_x = I$, then the lemma is proved.

The claim is obvious, since the integral curve cannot develop singularities, for let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be the negative of the Killing metric, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\dot\omega,\dot\omega\rangle &= -\operatorname{tr}(\omega A_0 A_0 \omega^{-1}) \\ &= -\operatorname{tr}(A_0 A_0) = \gamma_{ab} A^a_0 A^b_0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

from which the result immediately follows. ☐

2.6. **Lemma.** *Let U_k, U_l be overlapping cylinders and let $\omega = \omega(t,x)$ be a gauge transformation relating the respective representations of the connection $\bar A$ in the overlap $U_k \cap U_l$ where both representations use the Hamilton gauge, then ω does not depend on t, i.e.,*

$$\dot\omega = 0. \tag{2.40}$$

Proof. Let $(\hat{\bar A}^a_\mu)$ resp. $(\bar A^a_\mu)$ be the representations of $\bar A$ in U_k resp. U_l such that

$$\hat{\bar A}^a_0 = \bar A^a_0 = 0, \tag{2.41}$$

then

$$\hat{\bar A}_0 = \omega \bar A_0 \omega^{-1} - \dot\omega\omega^{-1}, \tag{2.42}$$

hence

$$\dot\omega = 0 \qquad \text{in} \quad U_k \cap U_l. \tag{2.43}$$

☐

Let E_0 be the adjoint bundle

$$E_0 = (S_0, \mathfrak{g}, \pi, \mathrm{Ad}(\mathcal{G})) \tag{2.44}$$

with base space $\mathcal{S}_0$, where the gauge transformations only depend on the spatial variables $x = (x^i)$. For fixed t $A^a_{i,0}$ are elements of $T^{1,0}(E_0) \otimes T^{0,1}(\mathcal{S}_0)$

$$A^a_{i,0} \in T^{1,0}(E_0) \otimes T^{0,1}(\mathcal{S}_0), \tag{2.45}$$

but the vector potentials $A^a_i(t,\cdot)$ are connections in E_0 for fixed t and therefore cannot be used as independent variables, since the variables should be the components of a tensor. However, in view of the results in Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 the difference

$$\tilde A^a_i(t,\cdot) = A^a_i(t,\cdot) - \bar A^a_i(0,\cdot) \in T^{1,0}(E_0) \otimes T^{0,1}(\mathcal{S}_0). \tag{2.46}$$

Hence, we shall define $\tilde A^a_i$ to be the independent variables such that

$$A^a_i = \bar A^a_i(0,\cdot) + \tilde A^a_i \tag{2.47}$$

and we infer

$$A^a_{i,0} = \tilde{A}^a_{i,0}. \tag{2.48}$$

In the Hamilton gauge we therefore have

$$F^a_{0i} = \tilde{A}^a_{i,0} \tag{2.49}$$

and hence we conclude

$$-\tfrac{1}{4}F_{\mu\lambda}F^{\mu\lambda} = \tfrac{1}{2}w^{-2}g^{ij}\gamma_{ab}\tilde{A}^a_{i,0}\tilde{A}^b_{j,0} - \tfrac{1}{4}F_{ij}F^{ij}, \tag{2.50}$$

where we used (2.22).

Writing the density

$$\sqrt{g} = \sqrt{\det g_{ij}} \tag{2.51}$$

in the form

$$\sqrt{g} = \varphi\sqrt{\det \chi_{ij}}, \tag{2.52}$$

where χ is a fixed Riemannian metric in S_0, $\chi_{ij} = \chi_{ij}(x)$, such that $0 < \varphi = \varphi(x, g_{ij})$ is a function, we obtain as Lagrangian function

$$L_{YM} = \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_{ab}g^{ij}\tilde{A}^a_{i,0}\tilde{A}^b_{j,0}w^{-1}\varphi - \tfrac{1}{4}F_{\mu\lambda}F^{\mu\lambda}w\varphi. \tag{2.53}$$

The $\tilde{A}^a_i(t,\cdot)$ can be looked at to be mappings from N to $T^{1,0}(E_0)\otimes T^{0,1}(\mathcal{S}_0)$

$$\tilde{A}^a_i : N \to T^{1,0}(E_0)\otimes T^{0,1}(\mathcal{S}_0). \tag{2.54}$$

The fibers of $T^{1,0}(E_0)\otimes T^{0.1}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ are the tensor products

$$\mathfrak{g}\otimes T^{0,1}_x(\mathcal{S}_0), \qquad x \in \mathcal{S}_0, \tag{2.55}$$

which are vector spaces equipped with metric

$$\gamma_{ab}\otimes g^{ij}. \tag{2.56}$$

For our purposes it is more convenient to consider the fibers to be Riemannian manifolds endowed with the above metric. Let (ζ^p), $1 \le p \le n_1 n$, where $n_1 = \dim\mathfrak{g}$, be local coordinates and

$$(\zeta^p) \to \tilde{A}^a_i(\zeta^p) \equiv \tilde{A}(\zeta) \tag{2.57}$$

be a local embedding, then the metric has the coefficients

$$G_{pq} = \langle \tilde{A}_p, \tilde{A}_q\rangle = \gamma_{ab}g^{ij}\tilde{A}^a_{i,p}\tilde{A}^b_{j,q}. \tag{2.58}$$

Hence, the Lagrangian L_{YM} in (2.53) can be expressed in the form

$$L_{YM} = \tfrac{1}{2}G_{pq}\dot{\zeta}^p\dot{\zeta}^q w^{-1}\varphi - \tfrac{1}{4}F_{ij}F^{ij}w\varphi \tag{2.59}$$

and we deduce

$$\tilde{\pi}_p = \frac{\partial L_{YM}}{\partial\dot{\zeta}^p} = G_{pq}\dot{\zeta}^q w^{-1}\varphi \tag{2.60}$$

yielding the Hamilton function

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_{YM} &= \pi_p \dot{\zeta}^p - L_{YM} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} G_{pq} (\dot{\zeta}^p w^{-1} \varphi)(\dot{\zeta}^q w^{-1} \varphi) w \varphi^{-1} + \tfrac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij} w \varphi \\ &= \tfrac{1}{2} G^{pq} \tilde{\pi}_p \tilde{\pi}_q w \varphi^{-1} + \tfrac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij} w \varphi \\ &\equiv H_{YM} w.\end{aligned} \tag{2.61}$$

Thus, the Hamiltonian that will enter the Hamilton constraint equation is

$$H_{YM} = \tfrac{1}{2} \varphi^{-1} G^{pq} \tilde{\pi}_p \tilde{\pi}_q + \tfrac{1}{4} F_{ij} F^{ij} \varphi. \tag{2.62}$$

3. The Higgs functional

Let $\varPhi$ be a scalar field, a map from N to E_1,

$$\varPhi : N \rightarrow E_1, \tag{3.1}$$

i.e., F is a section of E_1. Using the notation in Definition 2.1 on page 4, we also write

$$\varPhi \in T^{1.0}(E_1). \tag{3.2}$$

The Higgs Lagrangian is defined by

$$L_H = -\tfrac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} \gamma_{ab} \varPhi^a_\alpha \varPhi^b_\beta - V(\varPhi), \tag{3.3}$$

where V is a smooth potential. We assume that in a local coordinate system $\varPhi$ has real coefficients. The covariant derivatives of $\varPhi$ are defined by a connection $A = (A^a_\mu)$ in E_1

$$\varPhi^a_\mu = \varPhi^a_{,\mu} + \gamma_{ab} A^a_\mu \varPhi^b. \tag{3.4}$$

As in the preceding section we work in a local trivialization of E_1 using the Hamilton gauge, i.e.,

$$A^a_0 = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

hence, we conclude

$$\varPhi^a_0 = \varPhi^a_{,0}. \tag{3.6}$$

Expressing the density g as in (2.52) on page 9 we obtain Lagrangian

$$L_H = \tfrac{1}{2} \gamma_{ab} \varPhi^a_{,0} \varPhi^b_{,0} w^{-1} \varphi - \tfrac{1}{2} g^{ij} \gamma_{ab} \varPhi^a_i \varPhi^b_j w \varphi - V(\varPhi) w \varphi \tag{3.7}$$

which we have to use for the Legendre transformation. Before applying the Legendre transformation we again consider the vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ to be a Riemannian manifold with metric γ_{ab}. The representation of $\varPhi$ in the form $(\varPhi^a)$ can be looked at to be the representation in a local coordinate system (Θ^a).

Let us define

$$p_a = \frac{\partial L_H}{\partial \dot{\varPhi}^a}, \qquad \dot{\varPhi}^a = \varPhi^a_{,0}, \tag{3.8}$$

then we obtain the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_H &= p_a\dot{\Phi}^a - L_H\\ &= \tfrac{1}{2}\gamma_{ab}(\dot{\Phi}^a w^{-1}\varphi)(\dot{\Phi}^b w^{-1}\varphi)w\varphi^{-1} + \tfrac{1}{2}g^{ij}\gamma_{ab}\Phi^a_i\Phi^b_j w\varphi + V(\Phi)w\varphi\\ &\equiv H_H w.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, the Hamiltonian which will enter the Hamilton constraint is

$$H_H = \tfrac{1}{2}\varphi^{-1}\gamma^{ab}p_a p_b + \tfrac{1}{2}g^{ij}\gamma_{ab}\Phi^a_i\Phi^b_j\varphi + V(\Phi)\varphi \tag{3.10}$$

4. The Wheeler-DeWitt equation

The interaction of gravity with the Yang-Mills and the Higgs field is described by the functional

$$J = \alpha_N^{-1}\int_{\tilde{\Omega}}(\bar{R} - 2\Lambda) + \int_{\tilde{\Omega}}\{L_{YM} + L_H\}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega} \Subset N$ is an open precompact set, $\bar{R}$ the scalar curvature, Λ a cosmological constant and L_{YM} resp. L_H the Lagrangians in (2.1) on page 4 resp. (3.3) on page 10.

As we proved in [3] we may only consider metrics $\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ that split with respect to some fixed globally defined time function x^0 such that

$$d\bar{s}^2 = -w^2(dx^0)^2 + g_{ij}dx^i dx^j \tag{4.2}$$

where $g(x^0,\cdot)$ are Riemannian metrics in $\mathcal{S}_0$,

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{x^0 = 0\}. \tag{4.3}$$

The first functional on the right-hand side of (4.1) can be written in the form

$$\alpha_N^{-1}\int_a^b\int_{\Omega}\{\tfrac{1}{4}G^{ij,kl}\dot{g}_{ij}\dot{g}_{kl} + R - 2\Lambda\}w\varphi, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$G^{ij,kl} = \tfrac{1}{2}\{g^{ik}g^{jl} + g^{il}g^{jk}\} - g^{ij}g^{kl} \tag{4.5}$$

is the DeWitt metric,

$$(g^{ij} = (g_{ij})^{-1}, \tag{4.6}$$

R the scalar curvature of the slices

$$\{x^0 = t\} \tag{4.7}$$

with respect to the metric $g_{ij}(t,\cdot)$, and where we also assumed that $\tilde{\Omega}$ is a cylinder

$$\tilde{\Omega} = (a,b)\times\Omega, \qquad \Omega \Subset \mathcal{S}_0, \tag{4.8}$$

and, where now, we also assume that $\Omega \subset U_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The Riemannian metrics $g_{ij}(t,\cdot)$ are elements of the bundle $T^{0,2}(\mathcal{S}_0)$. Denote by $\tilde{E}$ the fiber bundle with base $\mathcal{S}_0$ where the fibers $F(x)$ consists of the

Riemannian metrics (g_{ij}). We shall consider each fiber to be a Lorentzian manifold equipped with the DeWitt metric. Each fiber F has dimension

$$\dim F = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \equiv m+1. \tag{4.9}$$

Let (ξ^r), $0 \le r \le m$, be coordinates for a local trivialization such that

$$g_{ij}(x, \xi^r) \tag{4.10}$$

is a local embedding. The DeWitt metric is then expressed as

$$G_{rs} = G^{ij,kl} g_{ij,r} g_{kl,s}, \tag{4.11}$$

where a comma indicates partial differentiation. In the new coordinate system the curves

$$t \to g_{ij}(t,x) \tag{4.12}$$

can be written in the form

$$t \to \xi^r(t,x) \tag{4.13}$$

and we infer

$$G^{ij,kl} \dot g_{ij} \dot g_{kl} = G_{rs} \dot\xi^r \dot\xi^s. \tag{4.14}$$

Hence, we can express (4.4) as

$$J = \int_a^b \int_\Omega \alpha_n^{-1} \{ \tfrac14 G_{rs} \dot\xi^r \dot\xi^s w^{-1} \varphi + (R - 2\Lambda) w \varphi \}, \tag{4.15}$$

where we now refrain from writing down the density $\sqrt{\chi}$ explicitly, since it does not depend on (g_{ij}) and therefore should not be part of the Legendre transformation. Here we follow Mackey's advice in [4, p. 94] to always consider rectangular coordinates when applying canonical quantization, which can be rephrased that the Hamiltonian has to be a coordinate invariant, hence no densities are allowed.

Denoting the Lagrangian *function* in (4.15) by L, we define

$$\pi_r = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot\xi^r} = \varphi G_{rs} \frac{1}{2\alpha_N} \dot\xi^s w^{-1} \tag{4.16}$$

and we obtain for the Hamiltonian function $\hat H_G$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat H_G &= \dot\xi^r \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot\xi^r} - L \\ &= \varphi G_{rs} \big(\frac{1}{2\alpha_N} \dot\xi^r w^{-1}\big)\big(\frac{1}{2\alpha_N} \dot\xi^s w^{-1}\big) w \alpha_N - \alpha_N^{-1} (R - 2\Lambda) \varphi w \\ &= \varphi^{-1} G^{rs} \pi_r \pi_s w \alpha_N - \alpha_N^{-1} (R - 2\Lambda) \varphi w \\ &\equiv H_G w, \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

where G^{rs} is the inverse metric. Hence,

$$H_G = \alpha_N \varphi^{-1} G^{rs} \pi_r \pi_s - \alpha_N^{-1} (R - 2\Lambda) \varphi \tag{4.18}$$

is the Hamiltonian that will enter the Hamilton constraint.

Combing the three Hamilton functions in (2.62) on page 10, (3.10) on page 11 and (4.18) the Hamilton constraint has the form

$$H = H_G + H_{YM} + H_H = 0, \tag{4.19}$$

where

$$H = H(\xi^r, \zeta^p, \Theta^a, \pi_s, \tilde{\pi}_q, p_b). \tag{4.20}$$

Here $(\xi^r, \zeta^p, \Theta^a)$ are local sections of a bundle E with base space $\mathcal{S}_0$ and fibers

$$F(x) \times (\mathfrak{g} \otimes T^{01}_x(\mathcal{S}_0)) \times \mathfrak{g} \tag{4.21}$$

where the fibers are Riemannian manifolds endowed with product metric

$$G = \varphi \operatorname{diag}(\alpha_N^{-1} G_{rs}, 2G_{pq}, 2\gamma_{ab}). \tag{4.22}$$

Applying quantization, by setting $\hbar = 1$, we replace

$$\pi_r = \pi_r(x) \rightarrow \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^r(x)} \tag{4.23}$$

and similarly for the other conjugate momenta $\tilde{\pi}_p$ and p_a.

After quantization we obtain a normally hyperbolic differential operator, which we shall also denote by H, acting only in the fibers and the Wheeler-DeWitt equation looks like

$$Hu = 0, \tag{4.24}$$

where $u \in C^\infty(E, \mathbb{C})$.

The fibers are Lorentzian manifolds equipped with the Lorentz metric G. If we can prove that the fibers are globally hyperbolic, then the techniques of QFT, appropriately modified to work in the bundle, can be applied to construct a quantum field $\varPhi_{\hat{E}}$ which maps functions $u \in C^\infty(E, \mathbb{R})$ to essentially self-adjoint operators in the symmetric Fock space created from the Hilbert space

$$H_{\hat{E}} = L^2(\hat{E}, \mathbb{C}). \tag{4.25}$$

$\hat{E}$ is the bundle with base space $\mathcal{S}_0$ and fibers M_0, where $M_0(x)$ is a Cauchy hypersurface in the corresponding fiber (4.21) in E, cf. [3, Section 6] for details.

The Lorentzian nature of G is due to the metric G_{rs} which is the DeWitt metric. In [3, Section 4] we proved that

$$\tau = \log \varphi \tag{4.26}$$

is a time function and that the hypersurface

$$M = \{\varphi = 1\} = \{\tau = 0\} \tag{4.27}$$

is a Cauchy hypersurface in $F(x)$, hence $F(x)$ is globally hyperbolic, cf. [5, Corollary 39, p. 422].

We shall prove:

4.1. **Theorem.** *The hypersurfaces* $M_0 = M_0(x)$, $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$,

$$M_0 = M \times (\mathfrak{g} \otimes T^{0,1}_x(\mathcal{S}_0)) \times \mathfrak{g} \tag{4.28}$$

are Cauchy hypersurfaces in each fiber over $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$.

Proof. We follow the proof in [3, Lemma 4.3]. Fix $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$, then the metric G_{rs} splits and can be expressed in the form

$$ds^2 = c\{-d\tau^2 + G_{AB}d\xi^A d\xi^B\}, \tag{4.29}$$

where c is a positive constant,

$$\tau = \xi^0 \quad \wedge \quad -\infty < \tau < \infty, \tag{4.30}$$

and (ξ^A), $1 \le A \le m$, are local coordinates for M. The metric G_{AB} is the metric of the hypersurface M when the ambient space $F(x)$ is equipped with the DeWitt metric; G_{AB} does not depend on τ.

Let $\gamma = \gamma(s)$, $s \in I$, be an inextendible future directed timelike curve in F. We have to prove that it intersects with M exactly once. It suffices to show that it intersects M, the uniqueness is trivial.

Suppose that γ does not intersect M. Assume there exists $s_0 \in I$ such that

$$\tau(\gamma(s_0)) < 0 \tag{4.31}$$

and assume from now on that s_0 is the left endpoint of I. Since τ is continuous the whole curve γ must be contained in the past of M.

From the relation (4.30) we deduce that the whole metric $\varphi^{-1}G$ in (4.22) splits according to (4.30). Stipulating that (ξ^0, ξ^A) represent a coordinate system for the fiber in (4.21) and not just for the $F(x)$ component, we may consider (4.30) to represent the metric of the whole fiber.

Hence, $\gamma = (\gamma^0, \gamma^A)$ and because γ is timelike we deduce

$$G_{AB}\dot\gamma^A\dot\gamma^B \le |\dot\gamma^0|^2 \tag{4.32}$$

and thus

$$\sqrt{G_{AB}\dot\gamma^A\dot\gamma^B} \le \dot\gamma^0, \tag{4.33}$$

since γ is future directed. Let

$$\tilde\gamma = (\gamma^A) \tag{4.34}$$

be the projection of γ to M_0, then the length of $\tilde\gamma$ is bounded

$$L(\tilde\gamma) = \int_I \sqrt{G_{AB}\dot\gamma^A\dot\gamma^B} \le \int_I \dot\gamma^0 \le -\gamma^0(s_0). \tag{4.35}$$

Let us express the curve $\tilde\gamma$ in the original coordinate system

$$\tilde\gamma = (g_{ij}(x,s), \tilde A^a_k(x,s), \Theta^b(x,s)), \tag{4.36}$$

then

$$G_{AB}\dot\gamma^A\dot\gamma^B = g^{ik}g^{jl}\dot g_{ij}\dot g_{kl} + \gamma_{ab}g^{kl}\dot{\tilde A}{}^a_k\dot{\tilde A}{}^b_l + \gamma_{cd}\dot\Theta^c\dot\Theta^s, \tag{4.37}$$

where we used that

$$g^{ij}\dot g_{ij}=0, \tag{4.38}$$

since the normal to M is a multiple of g^{ij}, and we conclude

$$\int_I\sqrt{g^{ik}g^{jl}\dot g_{ij}\dot g_{kl}}\le -\gamma^0(s_0) \tag{4.39}$$

and identical estimates for the other components. In [3, Lemma 4.3] we have shown that the metrics $g_{ij}(s)$ are uniformly equivalent and stay in a compact subset of M. Hence, we can replace the norm

$$\gamma_{ab}g^{kl}\dot{\tilde A}{}^a_k\dot{\tilde A}{}^b_l \tag{4.40}$$

by

$$\gamma_{ab}\chi^{kl}\dot{\tilde A}{}^a_k\dot{\tilde A}{}^b_l, \tag{4.41}$$

where $\chi_{ij}=\chi_{ij}(x)$ is a metric independent of s, and we conclude that the components $\tilde A^a_k$ are uniformly bounded and therefore contained a compact subset, since the ambient space is a finite dimensional vector space.

The same argument is also valid in case of the components $\Theta^a(s)$ and we finally obtain a contradiction because an inextendible timelike curve cannot stay in a compact subset. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and C. W. Misner, *The dynamics of general relativity*, Gravitation: an introduction to current research (Louis Witten, ed.), Wiley, 1962, pp. 227–265.

[2] Ljudvig D. Faddeev and Andrej A. Slavnov, *Gauge fields*, Frontiers in physics, vol. 50, The Benjamin Publishing Company, 1980.

[3] Claus Gerhardt, *The quantization of gravity in globally hyperbolic spacetimes*, 2012, arXiv:1205.1427.

[4] George W. Mackey, *The mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics: A lecture-note volume*, W., A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1963.

[5] Barrett O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian geometry. With applications to relativity.*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 103. New York-London etc.: Academic Press. XIII, 1983.

RUPRECHT-KARLS-UNIVERSITÄT, INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE MATHEMATIK, IM NEUENHEIMER FELD 294, 69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY
E-mail address: `gerhardt@math.uni-heidelberg.de`
URL: `http://www.math.uni-heidelberg.de/studinfo/gerhardt/`